

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,925	(	02/06/2004	Brien E. Pierpont	P06547US1	8476
34082	7590	11/14/2006		EXAM	INER
ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.				WILLIAMS, CAT	HERINE SERKE
CAPITAL S		•	·	, pm t p ym	DARED MULICIPA
400 LOCUST, SUITE 200			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2350			3763		

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,925	PIERPONT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Catherine S. Williams	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DIVIS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MO	NITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the provision of the maximum statutory perions of the provision	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		·
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.
		•
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>.</u> .

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a catheter assembly, classified in class 604, subclass 93.01.
- II. Claims 14-18, drawn to a method, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in another materially different process such as in cannulating the urinary tract.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 3763

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Juthen & William

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Catherine S. Williams November 11, 2006